

# **OTHER THAN RESEARCH PROJECT TYPES**

#### • Case Report or Case Series

In general, such projects will highlight one or a few particular cases for purposes of demonstration rather than for purposes of drawing generalized conclusions. By nature, such projects will not have potential impact on an established body of knowledge. The number of patients to be included is not a defining factor in determining when the 'research' threshold has been met, rather, the use of statistical methodology and systematic investigation. Even so, the Piedmont Healthcare Institutional Review Board (PHCIRB) has identified five as the upper limit for a case report or case series for consideration for IRB review. Consider the following non-exhaustive comparison of research and case reports/series:

Research	Case Report / Series
Report on more than 5 subjects.	Report on 5 or fewer subjects.
Draws conclusions about a broader population	Not meant to be a representative sample (not
based on the reported cases (even if not	drawing conclusions).
statistically significant; e.g. pilot studies can be	
"research").	
Reported/Published in a way that suggests broad	Reported/Published without attempting to draw
findings or recommendations.	broader conclusions.

\* Many journals now require a letter, or other acknowledgement, from an IRB prior to publication of a case report. Specifically, they wish to know whether IRB approval was obtained or was not required for the described case. See <u>more</u>.

#### • **Program Evaluation**

Program evaluation is the inquiry into past, present and potential human service programs to understand or clarify their need, working process or impact. Consider the following non-exhaustive comparison of research and evaluation:

Research	Evaluation
Produces generalizable knowledge. Generalizes.	Judges merit or worth. Particularizes.
Scientific inquiry based on intellectual curiosity.	Policy and program interests of stakeholders is
Provides basis for drawing conclusions.	paramount. Provides basis for decision-making.
Advances broad knowledge and theory.	Provides information for decision-making on
Designed to prove something or potentially alter	specific program. Designed to improve
standard procedures or normal activities.	something.
Controlled setting.	Conducted within setting of changing 'actors',
	priorities, resources, and timelines.
Involves experimental or non-standard	Do not involve experimental or non-standard
interventions.	interventions.

**NOTE:** Program evaluations intending to publish results in scholarly journals will require IRB approval with the assumption being that publishing the findings generalizes the data.

### • Public Health Practice

Public health practice focuses on improving the health of communities through continual quality improvement of public health decision making and the provision of public health services. The major difference between research and nonresearch lies in the purpose of the activity. The purpose of research is to generate or contribute to generalizable knowledge. The purpose of nonresearch in public health is to prevent or control disease or injury and improve health, or to improve a public health program or service. Consider the following non-exhaustive comparison of research and public health practice:

Research	Public Health Practice
Often conducted by academic centers and	Involves direct performance or oversight by a
institutions that have experience conducting	governmental public health authority (or its
research.	authorized partner) and has public accountability.
Main aims are to research and draw conclusions	Primary intent is to prevent or control a disease or
about a hypothesis in order to contribute to the	injury.
field at large.	
Focused on generating knowledge that can be	Focused on improving the health of a specific
disseminated and applied broadly.	population or group.
Benefits are intended to apply beyond the	Benefits and risks are primarily designed to
participating community who bear the risks.	accrue to the participating community.
Involves research subjects who voluntarily	May legitimately involve persons who did not
consent to participate (or else a specific waiver of	specifically volunteer to participate (i.e. no
such).	informed consent).

### • Quality Assurance

Quality Assurance is a systematic approach to review of practices and procedures in order to identify possible improvements and to provide a mechanism for bring them about. Research is a systematic investigation which aims to increase the sum of knowledge. It usually involves the testing of a hypothesis or theory.

Research	Quality Assurance
A systematic investigation that aims to increase	A systematic approach to review of practices and
the sum of knowledge. It usually involves the	procedures in order to identify possible
testing of a hypothesis or theory.	improvements and to provide a mechanism to
	bring them about.
Generates knowledge that may be tested in	Raises questions that might be answered by
quality assurance.	further research.
Is the act of finding the correct thing / may help	Is a test of whether things are being done as well
determine what is or might be the best practice.	as they could/should be. It compares current
	practice with current standards/best practices.

Results can be generalized across a wide	Results are local to the participant population or
population.	location.
Results are generally for external information.	Results are generally for internal information.
Requires the participation of patients and others	Requires the participation of site specific people
outside the specific site so a presentative sample	and departments. The data relates only to the
can be obtained and the results generalized.	specific site or area.
Will often have a defined endpoint when an	Is a continuous and on-going process that
adequate sample sized has been obtained.	includes follow up.
Results ae published universally to share the	Results are disseminated at the local/internal level
knowledge with a wide user base.	to educate on how to achieve best practices.

# • Quality Improvement

Quality improvement is the scenario where an activity is specifically initiated with the goal of improving and established standard. IRB review is needed when 1) project seeks to develop new knowledge or validate new treatments rather than assess the implementation of existing knowledge, 2) the methodology employs a standard research design, such as randomization, 3) the funding for the activity comes from an outside organization such as the NIH or a body with a commercial interest in the results, or 4) the risks from the intervention are greater than minimal. Consider the following non-exhaustive comparison of research and quality improvement:

Research	Quality Improvement
Designed to contribute to generalizable	Designed to implement knowledge on a particular
knowledge. Seeks new knowledge.	local issue.
The endpoint is to answer a research question.	Intended to improve a program, process or system.
May include risk exposure.	= minimal risk.</td
Not necessary for the entity.	Necessary/ integral to entity.
May prove or disprove a hypothesis.	Compare to established standard.
May or may not benefit.	Directly benefit process/ program.



# • Registries / Repositories

The question of IRB involvement with registries/repositories pivots on the discussions of intent, storage, use, and sharing of data and/or biospecimens. When the primary intent for the creation of a registry/repository is clinical use, the creation itself is not a research activity and therefore does not come under the jurisdiction of the IRB. When, however, the registry/repository will be used for research (such as a recruitment tool) it is then under the oversight of the IRB and will require review. Additionally, consenting to the registry will be required when there is planned collection of tissue/specimens beyond what is needed for a clinical procedure. Consider OHRP guidance below.

#### **OHRP Guidance on Registry Activities De-Identified Data for Research** (NOT HSR) INSTITUTION А REGISTRY Data Obtained for Not HSF **Clinical Administrative Purposes** Not HSR if... RESEARCHER No HHS Funding/Support No Applicable FWA Uses codes instead of ITUTION identifiers when receiving data B Additional Data Collected for Secondary Research Purposes HSR dentifiable Data for Research (HSR) OHRP Letter to National Health Register, August 11, 2011 OHRP Letter to National Health Register, December 29, 20

- The application of the regulations to an activity depend in part on whether the activity meets the regulatory definition of "research," which depends on the specific facts of the activity, and not whether it is labeled "quality improvement" or something else.
- A research registry could be designed so that the regulations would not apply to the creation and operations of the registry through various mechanisms, including the use of codes instead of identifiers in the original release of data to a registry, or the use of computer programming to merge identifiable data-sets without any person being able to view the data in identifiable form.
- Institutions holding information originally obtained for clinical or administrative purposes whose agents simply release identifiable private information to a registry are not engaged in any research conducted by the registry, and do not have to meet any regulatory requirements of the 45 CFR 46 in this regard.
- Outside researchers who request the release of non-identifiable private information from the registry for secondary research analyses are not conducting "human subjects" research, and therefore the regulations do not apply to this activity, and there is no requirement for either IRB review or informed consent.
- If healthcare providers enhance or extend their standard of care in follow-up interviews with their patients and those changes would have been implemented regardless of any secondary research purpose, then the data collected through those interviews would not be considered research; in contrast, if part of the reason for the change in interview data collected is for research, then the data collection would be considered part of a research activity.

May 2023 Page 4 of 4